THE TATE CONJECTURE FOR A FAMILY OF SURFACES OF GENERAL TYPE WITH $p_g = q = 1$ AND $K^2 = 3$

CHRISTOPHER LYONS

Abstract. We prove a big monodromy result for a smooth family of complex algebraic surfaces of general type, with invariants $p_g = q = 1$ and $K^2 = 3$, that has been introduced by Catanese and Ciliberto. This is accomplished via a careful study of degenerations. As corollaries, when a surface in this family is defined over a finitely generated extension of $\mathbb{Q}$, we verify the semisimplicity and Tate conjectures for the Galois representation on the middle $\ell$-adic cohomology of the surface.
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1. Introduction

In this paper, all fields under consideration will be subfields of $\mathbb{C}$.

In the Enriques classification of algebraic surfaces, those of general type are far less understood than their counterparts of nonmaximal Kodaira dimension. This is not only true geometrically, but arithmetically as well. In particular, given that surfaces of general type are, in some sense, the most common among all surfaces, they offer an important testing ground for a number of well-known, wide open conjectures in arithmetic geometry.

A second class of arithmetically interesting surfaces are those with geometric genus one. Via the Hodge structure on their middle singular cohomology groups, these surfaces are related to objects that have traditionally received more attention in arithmetic geometry, namely abelian varieties, $K3$ surfaces, and Shimura varieties. In particular, the relation with abelian varieties, first discovered by Kuga and Satake [KS], is $a$ priori only of a transcendental nature, but one expects it to be algebraic in light of the Hodge Conjecture. This expectation suggests the possibility of transferring known arithmetic results for abelian varieties to surfaces of geometric genus one, an idea first explored by Deligne [Del1].

With this in mind, we focus on a class of surfaces of general type that also have geometric genus one. More specifically, they are minimal algebraic surfaces with geometric genus $p_g = 1$, irregularity $q = 1$, self-intersection number $K^2 = 3$ of the canonical divisor, and Albanese
fiber genus $g = 3$. These surfaces have been introduced and classified by Catanese and Ciliberto [CC]. For this reason, they have been called *Catanese-Ciliberto surfaces of fiber genus three* by Ishida [Ish], but for brevity they will be referred to in this paper simply as *CC$_3$ surfaces*.

When its canonical divisor $K$ is ample, we will call a CC$_3$ surface *admissible*. Catanese and Ciliberto construct a smooth projective family $\pi : X \to S$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ containing all admissible CC$_3$ surfaces, where $S$ is a smooth irreducible variety of dimension 5. Our first theorem concerns the monodromy representation of the topological fundamental group $\pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), \sigma)$ on the second singular cohomology of the fiber $X_\sigma$; to state it, we need some notation.

Every CC$_3$ surface has two numerically independent curves, one being the canonical divisor $K$ and the other a smooth Albanese fiber $F$. In the family $\pi_C : X_C \to S_C$, the cycle classes of $K$ and $F$ in $H^2(X_\sigma, \mathbb{Q})(1)$ both come from global sections of the local system $\mathbb{H} := R^2(\pi_C^\an, \mathbb{Q}(1))$. Moreover, the first of these two global sections provides a polarization for the family. Denote by $V$ the polarized variation of rational Hodge structure over $S_C$ that one obtains by taking the orthogonal complement in $\mathbb{H}$ of these two global sections with respect to cup product. Let $\phi_\sigma$ denote the polarization on $V_\sigma$. Then the Hodge structure $V_\sigma$ is of dimension 9 and type $\{(−1, 1), (0, 0), (1, −1)\}$, with a polarization of signature $(2, 7)$.

Our first theorem is a big monodromy result for the family $\pi_C : X_C \to S_C$:

**Theorem A.** For $\sigma \in S(\mathbb{C})$, the image of the monodromy representation

$$\Lambda : \pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), \sigma) \to \text{O}(V_\sigma, \phi_\sigma)$$

is Zariski-dense.

Now suppose one has an admissible CC$_3$ surface $X$ defined over a finitely generated subfield $k_0$ of $\mathbb{C}$. The aforementioned work of Kuga and Satake gives a Hodge correspondence between $X_C$ and a certain complex abelian variety, called its Kuga-Satake variety. Using Theorem A, one can show that this abelian variety has a model $A$ over a finite extension $k'_0$ of $k_0$. Moreover, one can show that the Hodge correspondence between $X_C$ and its Kuga-Satake variety arises from a *motivated* correspondence in the sense of André [And2] (and hence an *absolute Hodge* correspondence in the sense of Deligne [DMOS]) between $X_{k'_0}$ and $A$. Using this and work of Faltings [Fal, FW], one obtains the following:

**Theorem B.** Let $k_0$ be a finitely generated subfield of $\mathbb{C}$, let $k$ be its algebraic closure, and let $X$ be an admissible CC$_3$ surface defined over $k_0$. For a prime number $\ell$, consider the $\ell$-adic representation

$$r_\ell : \text{Gal}(k/k_0) \to \text{Aut}(H^2(X_k, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)(1)).$$

Then the following hold:

(i) The representation $r_\ell$ is semisimple.

(ii) *(Tate Conjecture)* Let $V_{\text{alg}}$ be the $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-subspace generated by the image of the cycle class map

$$c_\ell : \text{CH}^1(X_k) \to H^2(X_k, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)(1).$$

Then $V_{\text{alg}}$ is exactly the subspace of elements in $H^2(X_k, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)(1)$ that are fixed by an open subgroup of $\text{Gal}(k/k_0)$.  

We remark that the method described above to obtain Theorem B from Theorem A has been known to experts for some time, see [Tat, p.80]. The prototype is the case of K3 surfaces, which follows from work of Deligne [Del1]. André [And1] axiomatizes this strategy and applies it not only to K3 surfaces, but also to abelian surfaces, a class of surfaces of general type appearing in [Cat, Tod], and cubic fourfolds (where one is concerned with the cohomology group $H^4$ rather than $H^2$). In deducing Theorem B from Theorem A, we follow the proof laid out in [And1], but at certain steps we must account for one key difference. In each of the aforementioned cases, the proof of the big monodromy theorem is obtained as a corollary of the following fact: the image of the period map of the family in question contains a Euclidean open ball in the period domain. Such a proof is unavailable in the case of CC$_3$ surfaces, as the dimension of moduli is 5 and the dimension of the period domain is 7.

Instead, we proceed by an analysis of the degenerations of admissible CC$_3$ surfaces. In their classification of CC$_3$ surfaces, Catanese and Ciliberto show that if one fixes an elliptic curve $E$, the admissible CC$_3$ surfaces $X$ with $\text{Alb}(X) = E$ are exactly the smooth divisors in a certain complete linear system $|D|$ on the symmetric cube $E^{(3)}$ of $E$. From the construction of the family $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to S$, the proof of Theorem A will follow if there exists one elliptic curve $E$ for which one can prove that the monodromy of the family of all smooth divisors in $|D|$ has dense image.

Since $\mathcal{D}$ is not very ample on $E^{(3)}$, classical Lefschetz theory does not apply directly, but a mild generalization results in a number of hypotheses that, if satisfied, will give the proof. The most difficult hypotheses to verify concern the structure of the collection of singular elements in $|\mathcal{D}|$: they assume that this collection has exactly one irreducible component of codimension one in $|\mathcal{D}|$ and that the general singular element has a singular locus of just one ordinary double point. We use equations for étale covers of elements of $|\mathcal{D}|$ given by Ishida [Ish] to show that this holds whenever a suitably nice pencil exists in $|\mathcal{D}|$. Using the computer program \textsc{Singular}, we then verify the existence of such a pencil when $E$ is the elliptic curve

$$y^2 = x^3 + x^2 - 59x - 783/4.$$ 

As a final remark, let us mention one simple consequence of Theorem A. The Picard number of any CC$_3$ surface lies between 2 and 9. Examples of Xiao [Xia] realize the upper bound. Since the family $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to S$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, one may use Theorem A and a general result of André [And2, Thm 5.2(3)] to conclude:

\textbf{Corollary.} There exist CC$_3$ surfaces over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ with minimal Picard number 2.

Here is a brief outline of the paper. In §2 we give background on CC$_3$ surfaces. We carry out our analysis of the singular elements of $|\mathcal{D}|$ on $E_1^{(3)}$ in §3 and use it in §4 to prove Theorem A. Finally, Theorem B is proved within a broader axiomatic framework in §5.

We end by noting that the source code and data files for all computer calculations used in this paper (specifically, in Proposition 3.9) are available online at [Lyo].
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Conventions and notations:
All fields considered will be subfields of \( \mathbb{C} \). We will use \( k \) to denote an algebraically closed field. Other conventions about fields are found at the beginning of §3 and §5.

Let \( V \) be a vector space over \( k \). If \( v \in V \setminus \{0\} \), we let \( \bar{v} = k^\times \cdot v \in \mathbb{P}(V) \) denote its equivalence class in the projectivization of \( V \).

For a variety \( X \) with invertible sheaf \( L \) and global section \( \sigma \in H^0(X, L) \), let \( Z(\sigma) \) denote the divisor of zeros of \( \sigma \). Since \( Z(\sigma) \) depends only upon the class \( \bar{\sigma} \in \mathbb{P}H^0(X, L) \), we write \( Z(\bar{\sigma}) = Z(\sigma) \).

In reference to a collection of objects parametrized by an algebraic variety, the adjective general is used to refer to any member of the collection that lies within a sufficiently small Zariski-dense open subset of the parameter space (e.g., a general fiber of a fibration or a general pencil in a linear system).

2. Preliminaries on CC\(_3\) surfaces

Recall that \( k \) denotes an algebraically closed subfield of \( \mathbb{C} \).

**Definition.** A minimal smooth projective connected algebraic surface \( X \) over \( k \) will be called a Catanese–Ciliberto surface of fiber genus three, or more succinctly a CC\(_3\) surface, if all of the following hold:

- \( X \) has geometric genus \( p_g = h^0(X, \Omega_X^2) = 1 \),
- \( X \) has irregularity \( q = h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 1 \),
- the canonical divisor \( K \) of \( X \) has self-intersection number \( K^2 = 3 \), and
- the generic fiber of an Albanese fibration \( \text{Alb} : X \to \text{Alb}(X) \) has genus \( g = 3 \).

We call \( X \) admissible if, additionally, \( K \) is ample (or equivalently, if its canonical model is smooth).

These invariants imply that a CC\(_3\) surface is of general type (e.g., see Table 10 in [BPVdV]).

If \( E \) is an elliptic curve over \( k \), let \( \bigoplus \) denote the addition law, and let \( 0 \in E(k) \) denote the identity. Let \( E^{(3)} \) denote the symmetric cube of \( E \), which is the quotient of \( E^3 \) by the \( S_3 \)-action permuting the factors; let \( q : E^3 \to E^{(3)} \) denote this quotient. The summation map \( \bigoplus : E^3 \to E \) is \( S_3 \)-invariant, and hence induces the Abel-Jacobi map \( \text{AJ} : E^{(3)} \to E \). One may define two divisors on \( E^{(3)} \). The first is denoted by \( D_0 \) and defined as the scheme-theoretic image \( q(\{(0) \times E \times E\}) \) in \( E^{(3)} \), and the second is \( G_0 := \text{AJ}^{-1}(0) \). More intuitively, the points of \( E^{(3)} \) correspond to effective divisors \( \sum n_P P \) of degree 3 on \( E \) and the Abel-Jacobi map

\[
\text{AJ} : E^{(3)} \to E \\
\sum n_P P \mapsto \bigoplus n_P P,
\]

sends an effective divisor of degree 3 on \( E \) to the sum of its components. The divisor \( D_0 \) represents those effective divisors of degree 3 whose support contains the point 0.
The Abel-Jacobi map $AJ : E^{(3)} \to E$ gives $E^{(3)}$ the structure of a $\mathbb{P}^2$-bundle over $E$. The tautological invertible sheaf of this $\mathbb{P}^2$-bundle is $O_{E^{(3)}}(D_0)$, and $G_0$ is a fiber. We set
\begin{equation}
D := 4D_0 - G_0
\end{equation}
and
\begin{equation}
L := O_{E^{(3)}}(\mathcal{D}).
\end{equation}

**Theorem 2.1** (Catanese–Ciliberto [CC]). Let $X$ be a $CC_3$ surface over $k$ and let $E = \text{Alb}(X)$. Then the canonical model of $X$ is isomorphic to a divisor in the linear system $|\mathcal{D}|$ on $E^{(3)}$ with at most rational double points as singularities.

Conversely, if $E$ is any elliptic curve over $k$, then any element of the linear system $|\mathcal{D}|$ on $E^{(3)}$ with at most rational double points is the canonical model of a $CC_3$ surface with Albanese variety $E$. Moreover, a general element $X \in |\mathcal{D}|$ is smooth. For such $X$, the restrictions from $E^{(3)}$ to $X$ of the divisors $D_0$ and $G_0$ are, respectively, numerically equivalent to the canonical divisor $K$ and an Albanese fiber $F$.

Catanese and Ciliberto show that
\begin{equation}
h^0(E^{(3)}, L) = 5.
\end{equation}
Thus the canonical models of all $CC_3$ surfaces $X$ over $k$ with $\text{Alb}(X) = E$ belong to a family over an open subset of $\mathbb{P}H^0(E^{(3)}, L) \simeq \mathbb{P}^4$. The total space of this family is an open subset of the incidence correspondence
\[ \{ (q, \sigma) \in E^{(3)} \times \mathbb{P}H^0(E^{(3)}, L) \mid q \in Z(\sigma) \}. \]

By relativizing this picture, Catanese and Ciliberto construct a single connected family containing the canonical models of all $CC_3$ surfaces, and hence a subfamily containing all admissible $CC_3$ surfaces, as follows. For a fixed $N > 3$, let $Y := Y_1(N)$ be the (open) modular curve classifying elliptic curves with $\Gamma_1(N)$-level structure. Then $Y$ is a connected fine moduli space with universal family $E \to Y$, which is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ using Shimura’s canonical model [Shi]. Let $Y \to \mathcal{E}$ denote the identity section.

Then $S_3$ acts upon $\mathcal{E} \times_Y \mathcal{E} \times_Y \mathcal{E}$ as a $Y$-scheme by permuting the factors, and the resulting quotient is the relative symmetric cube $p : \mathcal{E}^{(3)} \to \mathcal{E}$ of $\mathcal{E} \to Y$; from the $S_3$-invariance of the threefold addition map $\bigoplus : \mathcal{E} \times_Y \mathcal{E} \times_Y \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}$ one obtains the relative Abel-Jacobi map $AJ : \mathcal{E}^{(3)} \to \mathcal{E}$. One may then define on $\mathcal{E}^{(3)}$ the divisor $\mathcal{D}_0$ as the scheme-theoretic image of the composition
\[ Y \times_Y \mathcal{E} \times_Y \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E} \times_Y \mathcal{E} \times_Y \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}^{(3)}, \]
where the first map arises from base changing the identity section $Y \to \mathcal{E}$ via the projection $\text{pr}_1 : \mathcal{E} \times_Y \mathcal{E} \times_Y \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}$, and the second map is the quotient by $S_3$. One may also define on $\mathcal{E}^{(3)}$ the divisor $\mathcal{G}_0$ as the fiber product
\[ \mathcal{G}_0 \to \mathcal{E}^{(3)} \]
\[ Y \to \mathcal{E} \]
\[ AJ \]
\[ \mathcal{A}J \to \mathcal{E}. \]
Note that if \( y \in Y(k) \) is such that \( \mathcal{E}_y \cong E \), then \( \mathcal{D}_0 \) and \( \mathcal{G}_0 \) restrict to \( D_0 \) and \( G_0 \) on the fiber \( \mathcal{E}_y^{(3)} \cong E^{(3)} \). One may form the invertible sheaf
\[
\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{(3)}}(4D_0 - G_0)
\]
on \( \mathcal{E}^{(3)} \) and the projective bundle \( \mathcal{S}_0 := \mathbb{P}(p_* \mathcal{L}) \) over \( Y \). Then \( \mathcal{S}_0 \) is a \( \mathbb{P}^1 \)-bundle over \( Y \), and one may identify its fiber over the point \( y \) as
\[
(\mathcal{S}_0)_y \cong \mathbb{P} H^0(E^{(3)}, L).
\]
By forming the incidence correspondence
\[
\mathcal{X}_0 := \{ (q, s) \in \mathcal{E}^{(3)} \times_Y \mathcal{S}_0 \mid q \in Z(s) \}
\]
and projecting onto the second factor, one obtains a flat projective family \( \mathcal{X}_0 \to \mathcal{S}_0 \) whose fibers consist exactly of the divisors in \( |\mathcal{D}| \) on \( E^{(3)} \), for all possible choices of \( E \). By restricting to the locus of smooth fibers, one obtains in this way a smooth geometrically connected variety \( \mathcal{S} \) of dimension 5 and a smooth projective family \( \pi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{S} \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \).

By Theorem 2.1, one has:

**Corollary 2.2.** Over any point of \( \mathcal{S}(k) \), the fiber of the smooth projective family \( \pi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{S} \) is an admissible \( CC_3 \) surface over \( k \). Conversely, every admissible \( CC_3 \) surface over \( k \) is isomorphic to the fiber over a point of \( \mathcal{S}(k) \).

We finish this section by recording the following useful result.

**Theorem 2.3 (Xiao, Polizzi).** There exist admissible complex \( CC_3 \) surfaces \( X \) having maximal Picard number 9.

**Proof.** For any \( E \), Xiao [Xia, p.51, Cor. 4] constructs a \( CC_3 \) surface \( X \) with a genus two pencil and \( \text{Alb}(X) = E \), and Polizzi [Pol, Props. 6.3, 6.18] shows it is admissible and has maximal Picard number. \( \square \)

### 3. Singular elements in \( |\mathcal{D}| \)

Throughout §3, the base field will always be \( \mathbb{C} \).

#### 3.1. Let \( E \) be a complex elliptic curve and let \( |\mathcal{D}| \) be the complete linear system on \( E^{(3)} \) defined in (2.1). We describe here certain étale threefold covers of the elements of \( |\mathcal{D}| \) that are amenable to computation, which in turn allow one to deduce certain facts about \( |\mathcal{D}| \). These techniques are used by Ishida in [Ish] to study Albanese fibrations of \( CC_3 \) surfaces, and draw from more general situations considered in [Tak]. We refer to either of these sources for further details and references.

The two key motivating facts are:

1. The Abel-Jacobi map \( AJ : E^{(3)} \to E \) makes \( E^{(3)} \) into a \( \mathbb{P}^2 \)-bundle \( \mathbb{P}(B) \to E \), where \( B \) is an indecomposable locally free sheaf of rank 3 and degree 1.
2. Let \( \tilde{E} \) be an elliptic curve with identity \( \tilde{0} \in \tilde{E} \) such that there exists an isogeny \( \varphi : \tilde{E} \to E \) of degree 3. Then \( B' := \varphi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}}(\tilde{0}) \) is an indecomposable locally free sheaf of rank 3 and degree 1 with the property that \( \varphi^* B' \) is a direct sum of three invertible sheaves on \( \tilde{E} \).
As \( E^{(3)} = \mathbb{P}(B) \) and \( \mathbb{P}(B') \) are isomorphic [Ati], we may fix an identification between the two. Defining the \( \mathbb{P}^2 \)-bundle

\[
(3.1) \quad \tilde{P} := \mathbb{P}(\varphi^* B'),
\]

with projection \( \tilde{A}J : \tilde{P} \to \tilde{E} \), one obtains a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{P} & \xrightarrow[\tilde{A}J]{} & \mathbb{P}(E^{(3)}) \\
\downarrow[\tilde{A}J] & & \downarrow[AJ] \\
\tilde{E} & \xrightarrow[\varphi]{} & E
\end{array}
\]

in which \( \tilde{P} \) is the fiber product of \( \tilde{E} \) and \( E^{(3)} \) over \( E \). Thus, if we let \( G = \ker \varphi = \{ \tilde{0}, C_1, C_2 \} \), then both \( \varphi \) and \( \Phi \) are Galois coverings with group \( G \). More specifically, if \( Q \in \tilde{E} \) and \( \tau_Q \in \text{Aut}(\tilde{E}) \) denotes translation by \( Q \), then \( \gamma \in G \) acts on \( \tilde{E} \) by \( \tau_\gamma \) and on \( \tilde{P} \) by the base change \( \tilde{\tau}_\gamma \) of \( \tau_\gamma \).

The locally free sheaf \( \varphi^* B' \) splits as

\[
(3.2) \quad \varphi^* B' \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}}(\tilde{0}) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}}(C_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}}(C_2),
\]

from which one obtains

\[
H^0(\tilde{P}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{P}}(1)) \simeq H^0(\tilde{E}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}}(\tilde{0})) \oplus H^0(\tilde{E}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}}(C_1)) \oplus H^0(\tilde{E}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}}(C_2)).
\]

Let \( Z_0 \) (resp., \( Z_1, Z_2 \)) denote the section in \( H^0(\tilde{P}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{P}}(1)) \) that corresponds to the constant function 1 in \( H^0(\tilde{E}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}}(\tilde{0})) \) (resp., \( H^0(\tilde{E}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}}(C_1)), H^0(\tilde{E}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}}(C_2)) \)). The splitting (3.2) allows one to easily obtain local trivializations of \( \tilde{P} \) over \( \tilde{E} \), two of which we make explicit:

- Consider the open subset \( U = \tilde{E} \setminus G = \tilde{E} \setminus \{ \tilde{0}, C_1, C_2 \} \). Each of the three invertible sheaves \( \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}}(\tilde{0}) \), \( \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}}(C_1) \), \( \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}}(C_2) \) are isomorphic over \( U \) to the constant sheaf \( \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}} \) via the identity map. It follows from (3.1) and (3.2) that \( (Z_0 : Z_1 : Z_2) \) serve as relative homogenous coordinates for the restriction \( \tilde{P}|_U \) of the \( \mathbb{P}^2 \)-bundle \( \tilde{P} \) to \( U \).
- The rational function \( t = x/y \) on \( \tilde{E} \) is a local parameter at \( \tilde{0} \). Let \( U' \subseteq \tilde{E} \) denote the complement of \( C_1, C_2 \), and the three nontrivial 2-torsion points of \( \tilde{E} \); that is, \( U' \) is the open subset of \( \tilde{E} \) obtained by removing all points in the support of \( \text{div}(t) \) except for \( \tilde{0} \). Over \( U' \), the sheaves \( \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}}(C_1) \) and \( \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}}(C_2) \) are still isomorphic to \( \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}} \) via the identity map. For \( \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}}(\tilde{0}) \) one may use the isomorphism \( \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}}(\tilde{0})|_{U'} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}}|_{U'} \) given by multiplication by \( t \). Setting \( Z'_0 := t^{-1}Z_0 \), it follows that \( (Z'_0 : Z_1 : Z_2) \) serve as relative homogenous coordinates for \( \tilde{P}|_{U'} \) over \( U' \).

Note that the action of \( G \) on \( Z_0, Z_1, Z_2 \) is described by

\[
(3.3) \quad \tilde{\tau}^*_C Z_0 = Z_2, \quad \tilde{\tau}^*_C Z_0 = Z_1.
\]

Together with the open set \( \tilde{P}|_U \) (which is stabilized by \( G \)), the three \( G \)-translates of \( \tilde{P}|_{U'} \) form a trivializing open cover of \( \tilde{P} \). As it happens, we will only concern ourselves with local properties of \( G \)-stable divisors on \( \tilde{P} \), and so will only need to utilize the two coordinate charts described above.

Choose an affine equation \( y^2 = w(x) \) for \( \tilde{E} \), where \( w \) is a monic cubic polynomial with nonzero discriminant. If \( C_1 = (\alpha, \beta) \) (and thus \( C_2 = (\alpha, -\beta) \)), define three rational functions
on \( \tilde{E} \) by

\[
\begin{align*}
\quad & f := x - \alpha, \\
& g := x \tau_{C_2} - \alpha, \\
& h := x \tau_{C_1} - \alpha.
\end{align*}
\]

From their definitions, \( G \) permutes these three functions:

\[
(3.4) \quad g = \tau_{C_2}^* f, \quad h = \tau_{C_1}^* f.
\]

With the invertible sheaf \( L \) as in (2.2), one has isomorphisms

\[
\Phi^* L \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{P}}(4) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{P}}(-\tilde{P}_0 - \tilde{P}_{C_1} - \tilde{P}_{C_2})
\]

and

\[
(3.5) \quad \Phi^* : H^0(E^{(3)}, L) \tilde{\to} H^0(\tilde{P}, \Phi^* L)^G.
\]

**Proposition 3.1** ([Ish, Tak]). *A basis for the space \( H^0(\tilde{P}, \Phi^* L)^G \) is given by the following sections:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Psi_1 & := fZ_0^4 + gZ_1^4 + hZ_2^4, \\
\Psi_2 & := Z_0Z_1Z_2(Z_0 + Z_1 + Z_2), \\
\Psi_3 & := fZ_0^2Z_2 + gZ_1^2Z_0 + hZ_2^2Z_1, \\
\Psi_4 & := fZ_0^3Z_1 + gZ_1^3Z_2 + hZ_2^3Z_0, \\
\Psi_5 & := ghZ_0^2Z_2^2 + fgZ_0^2Z_1^2 + f_0^2Z_0^2Z_2.
\end{align*}
\]

Consider a global section \( s \in H^0(\tilde{P}, \Phi^* L)^G \) and the \( G \)-stable divisor \( Z(s) \) that it determines on \( \tilde{P} \). As remarked above, in order to study local properties of \( Z(s) \), it suffices to study it only on the two open sets \( \tilde{P}|_U \) and \( \tilde{P}|_{U'} \). Given that \( (Z_0; Z_1; Z_2) \) form relative homogenous coordinates on the open set \( \tilde{P}|_U \), the equations given in Proposition 3.1 are well-suited for analyzing the divisor \( Z(s) \) on \( \tilde{P}|_U \). On the other hand, making the substitution \( Z_0 = tZ_0' \) and dividing by \( t \) allows one to analyze \( Z(s) \) on the open set \( \tilde{P}|_{U'} \), where relative homogenous coordinates are \( (Z_0'; Z_1; Z_2) \); define

\[
\chi_i(t, Z_0', Z_1, Z_2) := t^{-1}\Psi_i(tZ_0', Z_1, Z_2)
\]

for \( 1 \leq i \leq 5 \). If one expands the rational functions \( f, g, h \) in terms of the local parameter \( t \) (and defines \( \mu := w'(\alpha) \)), one obtains the following expressions for \( \chi_i \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\chi_1 & = 2\beta(Z_1^4 - Z_2^4) + t(Z_0^4 + \mu Z_1^4 + \mu Z_2^4) + O(t^2), \\
\chi_2 & = Z_0^3Z_1Z_2(Z_1 + Z_2) + tZ_0^3Z_1Z_2, \\
\chi_3 & = Z_0^3Z_2 - 2\beta Z_1Z_2^3 + t(\mu Z_1Z_2^3 + 2\beta Z_0^3Z_1^3) + O(t^2), \\
\chi_4 & = Z_0^3Z_1 + 2\beta Z_1^3Z_2 + t(\mu Z_1Z_2 - 2\beta Z_0^3Z_2^3) + O(t^2), \\
\chi_5 & = 2\beta Z_0^2Z_1^2 - Z_2^2 + t(\mu Z_0^2Z_2^2 + \mu Z_0^2Z_1^2 - 4\beta^2 Z_1^2Z_2^2) + O(t^2).
\end{align*}
\]

These expressions reveal the behavior of \( Z(s) \) in a neighborhood of the fiber \( \tilde{P}_0 \), which is the key information about \( Z(s) \) on the open set \( \tilde{P}|_{U'} \) that cannot be obtained by looking on \( \tilde{P}|_U \).

Recall that our primary focus is the divisors in the linear system \( |\mathcal{D}| \) on \( E^{(3)} \). By (3.5), the pullback of any divisor in \( |\mathcal{D}| \) to \( \tilde{P} \) is an étale threefold cover of the form \( Z(s) \), for some \( s \in H^0(\tilde{P}, \Phi^* L)^G \); thus local properties of elements of \( |\mathcal{D}| \) may be determined by instead
looking at such \( Z(s) \) on \( \tilde{P} \). This method is adopted to carry out several computations below. In order to label sections of \( H^0(E^{(3)}, L) \) in what follows, we use the isomorphism \((3.5) \) to define
\[
\psi_i := (\Phi^*)^{-1}(\Psi_i) \in H^0(E^{(3)}, L).
\]

Before proceeding, we demonstrate the utility of the equations of Ishida given in Proposition 3.1 by resolving a question about the set of base points of \( |D| \), which is conjectured in [CC] to be empty.

**Proposition 3.2.** There are exactly four base points of \( |D| \), each of which is simple and belongs to the fiber \( G_0 \subseteq E^{(3)} \).

**Proof.** It is shown in [CC, Lemma 3.3] that any possible base points of \( |D| \) must be simple, while in [Pol, Theorem 3.8] it is shown that there are at most four base points, each of which lies in the fiber \( G_0 \). Hence it suffices to show that the divisors \( Z(s) \), for \( s \in H^0(\tilde{P}, \Phi^*L)^G \), all pass through four common points in the fiber \( \tilde{P}_0 \). If \( r_1, r_2, r_3 \) are the roots of \( X^3 - 2\beta \) (note that \( \beta \neq 0 \) since \( C_1 \) is 3-torsion), one finds that the four values
\[
(1 : 0 : 0), \quad (r_1 : 1 : -1), \quad (r_2 : 1 : -1), \quad (r_3 : 1 : -1)
\]
for \( (Z'_0 : Z_1 : Z_2) \) are zeros of each \( \chi_i \) when \( t = 0 \). □

**3.2.** We now analyze the collection of singular divisors in \( |D| \). To start, define
\[ S := \mathbb{P} H^0(E^{(3)}, L) \simeq \mathbb{P}^4 \]
and let
\[
(3.7) \quad R := \{ s \in S \mid Z(s) \text{ is singular} \} \subseteq S,
\]
which parametrizes the singular divisors in \( |D| \). As the general element of \( |D| \) is smooth by Theorem 2.1, \( R \) is a proper Zariski-closed subset of \( S \). Endow \( R \) with its unique structure as a reduced subscheme of \( S \).

**Lemma 3.3.** Choose any \( s_0 \in S \) such that \( Z(s_0) \) is smooth, and let \( J \subseteq S \) be a general pencil (i.e., a general one-dimensional projective subspace of \( S \)) that contains \( s_0 \). Then the base locus of \( J \) is smooth.

**Proof.** The base locus of such a pencil may be regarded as an element of the trace \( t \) on \( Z(s_0) \) of the linear system \( |D| \). Since \( Z(s_0) \in |D| \), the linear system \( t \) on \( Z(s_0) \) has exactly the same four base points as does \( |D| \) on \( E^{(3)} \). By Bertini’s theorem applied to \( t \), we conclude that the base locus of a general pencil through \( s_0 \) is smooth away from the four base points in Proposition 3.2.

Now consider one of these base points \( q \in E^{(3)} \). By the aforementioned proposition, \( q \) is a simple base point of \( |D| \), which is equivalent to saying that two general elements of \( |D| \) are smooth at \( q \) and intersect transversally there. It follows that, for general \( s_1 \in S \setminus \{ s_0 \} \), \( Z(s_1) \) is smooth at \( q \) and intersects \( Z(s_0) \) transversally, which implies that the base locus of the pencil generated by \( s_0 \) and \( s_1 \) is smooth at \( q \).

Thus the base locus of a general pencil \( J \) through \( s_0 \) is smooth both at and away from the four base points. □
Lemma 3.4. Let $J \subseteq S$ be a pencil with smooth base locus $\Gamma$, and consider the blow-up
\[ \mathcal{Y} := \text{Bl}_\Gamma(E^{(3)}) = \left\{ (q,s) \in E^{(3)} \times J \mid q \in Z(s) \right\}. \]
of $E^{(3)}$ along $\Gamma$. If $e$ denotes the topological Euler characteristic, then we have
\[ e(J)e(Z(s)) - e(\mathcal{Y}) = 42 \]
for general $s \in J$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{Y} = \text{Bl}_\Gamma(E^{(3)})$, it follows from [GH, pp.605–606] that $e(\mathcal{Y}) = e(E^{(3)}) + e(\Gamma)$. We have $e(E^{(3)}) = 0$ [Mac] and, regarding $\Gamma$ as a smooth curve on $Z(s) \cong \mathcal{Y}_s$ for a general $s \in J$, the adjunction formula gives
\[ -e(\Gamma) = 2g(\Gamma) - 2 = \Gamma.(\Gamma + K), \]
where $K$ is the canonical divisor on $Z(s)$. If $\iota : Z(s) \hookrightarrow E^{(3)}$ denotes the embedding then, up to numerical equivalence, we have $\Gamma = \iota^*\mathcal{D} = \iota^*(4D_0 - G_0)$ and $K = \iota^*(D_0)$ [CC, p.395]. Since $Z(s)$ is numerically equivalent to $4D_0 - G_0$ in $E^{(3)}$, we calculate
\[
\Gamma.(\Gamma + K) = \iota^*\left((4D_0 - G_0)(5D_0 - G_0)\right)
= \iota^*(20D_0^2 - 9D_0G_0)
= (4D_0 - G_0)(20D_0^2 - 9D_0G_0)
= 24.
\]
Thus $e(\mathcal{Y}) = -24$.

Finally, we have $e(J) = e(\mathbb{P}^1) = 2$ and, as $Z(s)$ is an admissible CC$_3$ surface by Theorem 2.1, Noether’s formula gives $e(Z(s)) = 9$. Therefore
\[ e(J)e(Z(s)) - e(\mathcal{Y}) = 2 \cdot 9 - (-24) = 42. \]

Corollary 3.5. We have $\dim R = 3$.

Proof. Let $J \subseteq S$ be a general pencil with base locus $\Gamma \subseteq E^{(3)}$. Then the total space of $J$ is the blow-up $\mathcal{Y}$ defined in Lemma 3.4, whose second projection we denote by $\rho : \mathcal{Y} \to J$.

Clearly $\dim R \leq 3$, so suppose for contradiction that $\dim R \leq 2$. Then for a general pencil $J$, the total space $\mathcal{Y}$ is smooth by Lemma 3.3 and $\rho : \mathcal{Y} \to J$ is a smooth fibration. This implies
\[ e(\mathcal{Y}) = e(J)e(Z(s)) \]
for any $s \in J$, contradicting Lemma 3.4. \qed

Given a point $q \in E^{(3)}$, consider the collection of $s \in R$ such that $q \in \text{Sing} Z(s)$. This collection is a projective subspace of $S$, which is seen as follows. Let $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ be local parameters of $E^{(3)}$ at $q$ and let $f_i$ be the local equation for $\psi_i$ in a neighborhood of $q$. Then the divisor $Z(a_1\psi_1 + \ldots + a_5\psi_5)$ has a singular point at $q$ if and only if the column vector $(a_1, \ldots, a_5)^t$ belongs to the kernel of the matrix
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    f_1(q) & \ldots & f_5(q) \\
    (\partial f_1/\partial x_1)(q) & \ldots & (\partial f_5/\partial x_1)(q) \\
    (\partial f_1/\partial x_2)(q) & \ldots & (\partial f_5/\partial x_2)(q) \\
    (\partial f_1/\partial x_3)(q) & \ldots & (\partial f_5/\partial x_3)(q)
\end{bmatrix}.
\]
Note that the kernel of this matrix is independent of the choice of local parameters $x_i$. The projectivization of this kernel is then the projective subspace of $S$ parametrizing elements with a singularity at $q$.

**Lemma 3.6.** There exists a point $q_0 \in E^{(3)}$ for which there is exactly one $s \in R$ satisfying $q_0 \in \text{Sing} Z(s)$.

**Proof.** Lifting the problem to the covering space $\tilde{P}$, it suffices to prove that there is a point $\tilde{q}_0 \in \tilde{P}$ for which there is a unique $\tilde{s} \in \tilde{P}$ such that $\tilde{q}_0 \in \text{Sing} Z(\tilde{s})$.

Consider the point $\tilde{q}_0 = \left(t, (Z_0' : Z_1 : Z_2)\right) = (0, (0 : 1 : 1)) \in \tilde{P}_0$. Letting $u = Z_0'/Z_2$, $v = Z_1/Z_2 - 1$, the three functions $(t, u, v)$ form local parameters of $\tilde{P}$ at $\tilde{q}_0$. In these parameters, the local equation of $\Psi_i$ is

$$g_i = \chi_i(t, u, v + 1, 1).$$

In this case the analogue of the matrix (3.8) is

$$\begin{bmatrix}
g_1(\tilde{q}_0) & \cdots & g_5(\tilde{q}_0) \\
(\partial g_1/\partial t)(\tilde{q}_0) & \cdots & (\partial g_5/\partial t)(\tilde{q}_0) \\
(\partial g_1/\partial u)(\tilde{q}_0) & \cdots & (\partial g_5/\partial u)(\tilde{q}_0) \\
(\partial g_1/\partial v)(\tilde{q}_0) & \cdots & (\partial g_5/\partial v)(\tilde{q}_0)
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & -2\beta & 2\beta & 0 \\
2\mu & 0 & \mu & \mu & -4\beta^2 \\
0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
8\beta & 0 & -2\beta & 6\beta & 0
\end{bmatrix},$$

and $Z(a_1\Psi_1 + \ldots + a_5\Psi_5)$ is singular at $\tilde{q}_0$ if and only if $(a_1, \ldots, a_5)^t$ belongs to the kernel. One calculates the kernel to be the span of $(-2\beta^2, 0, 4\beta^2, 4\beta^2, \mu)^t$.

In light of the preceding lemma, there exists a rational map

$$\eta : E^{(3)}(3) \rightarrow R$$

$q \mapsto$ (the unique $s$ such that $Z(s)$ is singular at $q$).

More algebraically, this map is defined using the matrix (3.8). If $m_i$ denotes the 4-by-4 minor of this 4-by-5 matrix obtained by omitting the $i$th column, and one or more $m_i$ is nonzero, then we define

$$(3.9) \quad \eta(q) = \sum_{i=1}^{5} (-1)^i m_i \psi_i \in R,$$

which is equal to the projectivization of the one-dimensional kernel. The rational map $\eta$ is defined on an open subset of $E^{(3)}$, and we let $\hat{R}$ denote the Zariski-closure of its image in $R$ under $\eta$. Since $E^{(3)}$ is irreducible, so is $\hat{R}$.

**3.3.** We now distinguish certain pencils in $S$. As the definition and terminology will indicate, the pencils in question have several properties in common with Lefschetz pencils of hyperplane sections.

**Definition.** We will say that a pencil $J \subseteq S$ is an $L$-pencil if it satisfies each of the following:

1. **(L1)** The base locus in $E^{(3)}$ of $J$ is smooth.
2. **(L2)** For all $s \in J$, the divisor $Z(s)$ contains at most isolated singularities, and $Z(s)$ is smooth for general $s \in J$.
3. **(L3)** There are at least 42 values of $s \in J$ such that $Z(s)$ is singular.
Proposition 3.7. Let $J \subseteq S$ be an L-pencil. Then there are in fact exactly 42 values of $s \in J$ such that $Z(s)$ is singular and, for each such $s$, the singular locus of $Z(s)$ consists of one ordinary double point.

Proof. Consider the total space $\mathcal{Y} := \text{Bl}_\Gamma(E(3))$ with its projection $\rho : \mathcal{Y} \to J$. By (L1), $\mathcal{Y}$ is smooth. Those points $(q,s) \in \mathcal{Y}$ such that $q \in \text{Sing} Z(s)$ form the collection of critical points of $\rho$; thus $\rho$ has finitely many critical points $\{y_i\}$ by (L2). If $y_i = (q_i, s_i)$ is such a critical point, let $\mu_i$ denote the Milnor number of the isolated singularity that $Z(s_i)$ possesses at the point $q_i$. Recall that $\mu_i$ is a positive integer with the property that $\mu_i = 1$ if and only if the singularity is an ordinary double point. We have $\sum \mu_i \geq 42$ by (L3). To prove the proposition, it will suffice therefore to show that $\sum \mu_i = 42$.

According to [Ful, 14.1.5(d)] there is a certain zero-cycle $\gamma$ on $\mathcal{Y}$ satisfying each of the following:

1. $\gamma$ is supported on the set of critical points of $\rho : \mathcal{Y} \to J$.
2. Let $y_i \in \mathcal{Y}$ be a critical point of $\rho$. Then the restriction of $\gamma$ to $\{y_i\}$ is the zero-cycle $\mu_i y_i$.
3. One has $\deg(\gamma) = e(J)e(Z(s)) - e(\mathcal{Y})$,

where $s \in J$ is a general.

Thus we obtain $e(J)e(Z(s)) - e(\mathcal{Y}) = \sum \mu_i$.

Applying Lemma 3.4 gives $\sum \mu_i = 42$. \qed

Proposition 3.8. Suppose there exists an L-pencil $J \subseteq S$ with the following property: Whenever $q \in \text{Sing} Z(s)$ for some $s \in J$, the rational map $\eta : E(3) \dashrightarrow R$ is defined at $q$. Then the following hold:

(a) The irreducible component $\hat{R}$ is a hypersurface of degree 42 in $S$.
(b) The only 3-dimensional irreducible component of $R$ is $\hat{R}$.
(c) For all $s$ in a Zariski-dense open subset of $\hat{R}$, $\text{Sing} Z(s)$ consists of one ordinary double point.

Proof. If for some $s \in J$ the divisor $Z(s)$ is singular at $q \in E(3)$, then by assumption $s$ belongs to the image of the rational map $\eta$. Hence one may consider the fiber $\eta^{-1}(s)$, which is necessarily a subset of $\text{Sing} Z(s)$. Since $J$ is an L-pencil, $\text{Sing} Z(s) = \{q\}$ by Proposition 3.7, and we conclude that there exist 0-dimensional fibers of $\eta$. This implies, by semi-continuity of the fiber dimension, that $\dim \hat{R} = \dim E(3) = 3$. Thus $\hat{R}$ is a hypersurface in $S$.

Next consider the correspondence $T = \{(q,s) \in E(3) \times R \mid q \in \text{Sing} Z(s)\}$ with second projection $pr_2 : T \to R$, which is necessarily surjective. We endow $T$ with its reduced subscheme structure in $E(3) \times R$. Let $R'$ be any 3-dimensional irreducible component of $R$. Since $pr_2$ is surjective, the component $R'$ must be dominated by one or more irreducible components of $T$. If $T'$ is such a component, then $T'$ is closed in $T$, so by properness of $pr_2$ its image is also closed; thus $pr_2 |_{T'} : T' \to R'$ is surjective. As $R'$ is a hypersurface in $S$, the given L-pencil $J$ intersects it; at such an intersection point $\sigma$, the fiber $\text{pr}_2^{-1}(\sigma) = \text{Sing} Z(\sigma) \times \{\sigma\}$
is 0-dimensional by Proposition 3.7. It follows by semi-continuity of fiber dimension that, for general $s \in R'$, $\text{Sing} \, Z(s) \simeq 0$ and $\text{Sing} \, Z(s) \times \{s\} = \text{pr}_2^{-1}(s)$ is 0-dimensional.

Thus we have established that the divisors belonging to a general pencil $J' \subseteq S$ have at most isolated singularities, since $J'$ is in general position with respect to $R$. Moreover, $J'$ must have at least 42 values of $s$ for which $Z(s)$ is singular; indeed, by the given hypothesis on the given $L$-pencil $J$, we may conclude

$$\deg R \geq \deg \hat{R} \geq 42.$$  

(Here $\deg R$ denotes the sum of the degrees of all 3-dimensional irreducible components of $R$ as hypersurfaces in $S$.) Finally, the base locus of $J'$ is smooth by Lemma 3.3. In summary, this means that the general pencil $J'$ will be an $L$-pencil, and therefore by Proposition 3.7 we may conclude that $\deg R = \deg \hat{R} = 42$. Thus $\hat{R}$ is the only 3-dimensional component of $R$. This proves (a) and (b).

To finish, choose a point $s_0 \in S \setminus R$, so that $Z(s_0)$ is smooth. After choosing an identification between $\mathbb{P}^3$ and the set of pencils in $S$ passing through $s_0$, we obtain a finite map $\ell : \hat{R} \to \mathbb{P}^3$ sending a point in $\hat{R}$ to the pencil containing itself and $s_0$. Then, by Lemma 3.3 and the preceding paragraphs, there is a dense open subset of $\mathbb{P}^3$ consisting of pencils that have smooth base locus and are in general position with respect to $\hat{R}$, i.e., a dense open subset of $L$-pencils. By Proposition 3.7, $\text{Sing} \, Z(s)$ consists of one ordinary double point whenever $s$ belongs to the inverse image under $\ell$ of this open subset. This proves (c). \hfill \Box

3.4. We now exhibit a specific elliptic curve $E_1$ for which one may verify the hypotheses of Proposition 3.8. Let $E_1$ denote the curve given by the Weierstrass equation

$$E_1 : y^2 = x^3 + x^2 - 59x - 783/4$$

and let $\tilde{E}_1$ denote

$$\tilde{E}_1 : y^2 = w(x) := x^3 + x^2 + x - 3/4.$$ 

Then there is an isogeny $\varphi : \tilde{E}_1 \to E_1$ such that $\ker \varphi = \{0, C_1, C_2\}$, where $C_1 = (\alpha, \beta) := (1, 3/2)$. Consider the pencil $J_1 \subseteq S$ defined parametrically by

$$(3.10) \quad J_1 := \{a\psi_1 + b(\psi_3 - \psi_4) \mid (a : b) \in \mathbb{P}^1\}.$$ 

**Proposition 3.9.** The pencil $J_1$ of divisors on $E_1^{(3)}$ is an $L$-pencil. Moreover, if $q \in \text{Sing} \, Z(s)$ for $s \in J_1$, then the rational map $\eta : E_1^{(3)} \dashrightarrow R$ is defined at $q$.

**Proof.** To verify each of the properties (L1) – (L3) for $J_1$, it suffices to instead work on the étale cover $\Phi : \tilde{P} \to E_1^{(3)}$. Specifically, it suffices to show that the corresponding pencil of pullbacks

$$\tilde{J}_1 = \{a\Psi_1 + b(\Psi_3 - \Psi_4) \mid (a : b) \in \mathbb{P}^1\},$$

on $\tilde{P}$ has smooth base locus, that it has at least 42 singular elements (but only finitely many), and that each of these singular elements has only isolated singularities.

One may verify this with the aid of the computer program SINGULAR by working, separately for both open sets $\tilde{P} \mid_U$ and $\tilde{P} \mid_{U'}$, with explicit rational polynomial rings and ideals coming from the equations in §3.1. First one checks that the ideal corresponding to the singularities of the base locus is empty. Next one examines the subset

$$(3.11) \quad \{(\tilde{q}, \tilde{s}) \in \tilde{P} \times \tilde{J}_1 \mid \tilde{q} \in \text{Sing} \, Z(\tilde{s})\}.$$
by showing that the associated quotient ring over open subsets have Krull dimension 0 and
then by computing their dimensions as vector spaces over \( \mathbb{Q} \). One finds that the set (3.11)
consists of 126 points, and that its projection to \( \tilde{J}_1 \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \) is parametrized by an irreducible
(hence separable) polynomial of degree 42. This allows one to conclude that there are exactly
42 singular elements in \( \tilde{J}_1 \), and (by \( G \)-symmetry) that the singular locus of each of these
consists of three points.

From SINGULAR one also obtains high-precision approximations to the complex coordinates
of each point in the (3.11). Inputting these numerical coordinates into a program such as
MATHEMATICA allows one verify that \( \eta \) is defined at each of the 126 points of (3.11) (which of
course amounts to checking that a matrix analogous to (3.8) has at least one nonzero minor).

Details of these computations may be found online [Lyo]. □

By combining Propositions 3.8 and 3.9, we summarize the main outcome of §3:

**Theorem 3.10.** Let \( E_1 \) be the elliptic curve

\[
E_1 : y^2 = x^3 + x^2 - 59x - 783/4,
\]

let \( S = \mathbb{P}H^0(E^{(3)}_1, L) \simeq \mathbb{P}^4 \) parametrize the linear system \( |\mathcal{D}| \) on \( E^{(3)}_1 \), and let \( R \subseteq S \) be the
reduced subscheme parametrizing singular elements. Then

(a) \( \dim R = 3 \).
(b) \( R \) has exactly one 3-dimensional irreducible component \( \hat{R} \), which is a hypersurface of
degree 42 in \( S \).
(c) For all \( s \) in a Zariski-dense open subset of \( \hat{R} \), \( \text{Sing } Z(s) \) consists of one ordinary
double point.

**Remark.** The choice of the particular elliptic curve \( E_1 \) is computationally motivated. Namely,
the cover \( \tilde{E}_1 \) and its 3-torsion point \( C_1 \) are selected first for the simplicity of their coefficients,
so that certain Gröbner basis computations are more efficient, and \( E_1 \) is simply the resulting
quotient \( \tilde{E}_1 / \langle C_1 \rangle \).

4. **Big Monodromy**

4.1. Recall the smooth projective family \( \pi : \mathcal{X} \to S \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \) constructed in §2 that contains
all admissible CC3 surfaces. Via the embedding \( \mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{(3)}_S \), the divisors \( \mathcal{D}_0 \times_Y \mathcal{S} \) and
\( \mathcal{G}_0 \times_Y \mathcal{S} \) cut out divisors on \( \mathcal{X} \) that we denote by \( D^X \) and \( G^X \). For each \( s \in S(k) \), the divisors
\( D^X \) and \( G^X \) in turn cut out divisors on the admissible CC3 surface \( X_s \) that are numerically
equivalent to the canonical divisor \( K \) and an Albanese fiber \( F \). Define the local system

\[
\mathbb{H}_Z := R^2(\pi^\text{an}_C)^* \mathbb{Z}(1)/(\text{tors.})
\]
on \( S_C \), letting \( \mathbb{H} := \mathbb{H}_Z \otimes \mathbb{Q} \). The divisors \( D^X, G^X \) yield respective classes \( \xi_1, \xi_2 \) in \( H^0(S_C, \mathbb{H}_Z) \).

**Lemma 4.1.** The global sections \( \xi_1, \xi_2 \in H^0(S_C, \mathbb{H}_Z) \) are linearly independent.

**Proof.** It suffices to show the restrictions of these sections to the fiber \( H^2(X_\sigma, \mathbb{Z})(1)/(\text{tors.}) \)
of \( \mathbb{H}_Z \) at a point \( \sigma \in S(\mathbb{C}) \) are independent. But these restrictions are just the cycle classes of
an Albanese fiber and the canonical divisor on \( X_\sigma \), which are independent by the adjunction
formula. □
Let
\[ \phi : \mathbb{H}_{Z} \otimes \mathbb{H}_{Z} \to R^{4}(\pi_{Z}^{\text{an}}), \mathbb{Z}(2) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \]
be the cup product form. Let \( \mathbb{V}_{Z} \) be the orthogonal complement under \( \phi \) of the rank 2 local subsystem of \( \mathbb{H}_{Z} \) generated by \( \{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\} \) and let \( \mathbb{V} := \mathbb{V}_{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \). Then \( \mathbb{V}_{Z} \) naturally gives rise to an integral variation of Hodge structure, for which we use the same notation, of weight zero and rank 9 with Hodge numbers \( h^{1,-1} = h^{1,1} = 1, h^{0,0} = 7 \). Moreover, the global section \( \xi_{1} \) of \( \mathbb{H}_{Z} \) restricts to the class of the canonical divisor in each fiber \( \mathbb{H}_{Z,\sigma} = H^{2}(\mathcal{X}_{\sigma}, \mathbb{Z})(1)/(\text{tors.}) \), which is ample since each \( \mathcal{X}_{\sigma} \) is an admissible CC3 surface. Thus the cup product form \( \phi : \mathbb{V}_{Z} \otimes \mathbb{V}_{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} \) makes \( \mathbb{V}_{Z} \) into a polarized integral variation of Hodge structure on \( \mathcal{S}_{C} \).

Recalling the construction of \( \pi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{S} \), suppose the point \( y \in Y(\mathbb{C}) \) on the modular curve \( Y \) corresponds to the elliptic curve \( E_{1} \) from Theorem 3.10. Then \( \mathcal{S}_{0,y} \simeq S = \mathbb{P}H^{0}(E_{1}^{(3)}, L) \). Under such an identification, let \( J \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{0,y} \) be a general line representing a pencil in \( |\mathcal{D}| \), and let \( J^{*} \subseteq J \) represent the locus of smooth divisors. Hence the pullback of \( \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{S} \) to \( J^{*} \) is the restriction of the total space \( Y \to J \) of the pencil to the smooth fibers. In order prove Theorem A regarding the monodromy of \( \mathcal{V} \) over \( \mathcal{S}_{C} \), it will suffice to prove the following:

**Theorem 4.2.** For \( \sigma \in J^{*} \), the Zariski-closure of the image of the monodromy representation
\[ (1.1) \quad \lambda : \pi_{1}(J^{*}(\mathbb{C}), \sigma) \to \text{O}(\mathbb{V}_{C,\sigma}, \phi_{\sigma}) \]
is the complex algebraic group \( \text{O}(\mathbb{V}_{C,\sigma}, \phi_{\sigma}) \).

In summary, we are reduced to investigating the monodromy of a general pencil of divisors in the complete linear system \( |\mathcal{D}| \) on \( E_{1}^{(3)} \).

**4.2.** The proof of Theorem 4.2 will follow along the lines of the classical theory of Lefschetz, which concerns the setting of Lefschetz pencils of very ample divisors on a smooth projective variety. Our primary reference for Lefschetz theory will be the exposition [Lam]. One may also consult [DK, Exposés XVII, XVIII].

The divisor \( \mathcal{D} \) on \( E_{1}^{(3)} \) is ample (by [CC, Prop. 1.14]) but not very ample (e.g., by Proposition 3.2), so Lefschetz theory does not apply directly to our case. However, with Theorem 3.10 at our disposal, the techniques in [Lam] can be extended to the present case. Since Lefschetz theory is well-known and the extension is straightforward, we only highlight the most salient points in the argument.

As mentioned, \( J^{*} \) is the smooth locus of a general pencil \( J \) in the linear system \( |\mathcal{D}| \) on \( E_{1}^{(3)} \). By Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 3.10, \( J \) has the following properties:

1. The base locus of \( J \) is smooth.
2. If \( Z(s) \) is singular for some \( s \in J \), then \( \text{Sing}(Z(s)) \) consists of one ordinary double point.
3. \( J \) intersects the singular locus \( R \subseteq S \) only at smooth points of \( \tilde{R} \), and does so transversally.

Fix a base point \( \sigma \in J^{*}(\mathbb{C}) \), so that \( Z(\sigma) \) is smooth. Then (1) and (2) allow the application of Picard-Lefschetz theory to the fibration \( Y \to J \). In particular, this theory introduces a collection of vanishing cycles in \( H_{2}(\mathcal{Y}_{\sigma}, \mathbb{Q})(-1) \) (of which there are 42 by Theorem 3.10). By abuse of terminology, we will also use the term *vanishing cycles* to refer to the elements in \( H_{2}(\mathcal{Y}_{\sigma}, \mathbb{Q})(1) \) that are the Poincaré duals of the (homological) vanishing cycles; since our primary viewpoint will be cohomological, this should not result in confusion.
Lemma 4.3. Under the isomorphism $\mathbb{H}_\sigma \simeq H^2(\mathcal{Y}_\sigma, \mathbb{Q})(1)$, the subspace $\mathbb{V}_\sigma$ of $\mathbb{H}_\sigma$ is identified as a $\pi_1(J^*(\mathbb{C}), \sigma)$ module with the subspace of $H^2(\mathcal{Y}_\sigma, \mathbb{Q})(1)$ generated by the vanishing cycles of the fibration $\mathcal{Y} \to J$. In particular, $\pi_1(J^*(\mathbb{C}), \sigma)$ does not fix any nonzero vector in $\mathbb{V}_\sigma$.

Proof. The isomorphism of $\pi_1(J^*(\mathbb{C}), \sigma)$ modules

$$\mathbb{H}_\sigma \simeq H^2(\mathcal{Y}_\sigma, \mathbb{Q})(1)$$

identifies the spans of the canonical and Albanese classes on each side, and thus identifies their orthogonal complements. In $\mathbb{H}_\sigma$ this complement is $\mathbb{V}_\sigma$. Thus it will suffice to show that the complement in $H^2(\mathcal{Y}_\sigma, \mathbb{Q})(1)$ is the span of the vanishing cycles.

Let $I \subseteq H^2(\mathcal{Y}_\sigma, \mathbb{Q})(1)$ denote the space of $\pi_1(J^*(\mathbb{C}), \sigma)$-invariants. Then the subspace spanned by the canonical and Albanese classes in $H^2(\mathcal{Y}_\sigma, \mathbb{Q})(1)$ is contained in $I$, and we claim this inclusion is an equality. Using Deligne’s theorem of the fixed part, $I$ is exactly the image of $H^2(\mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{Q})(1)$ induced by $\mathcal{Y}_\sigma \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$. Since $\mathcal{Y}$ is the blow-up of $E_1^{(3)}$ along the smooth base locus, one has

$$H^2(\mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{Q})(1) \simeq H^2(E_1^{(3)}, \mathbb{Q})(1) \oplus \mathbb{Q}(1),$$

where the summand $\mathbb{Q}(1)$ is generated by the class of the exceptional divisor. We know that the summand $H^2(E_1^{(3)}, \mathbb{Q})(1)$ contributes the canonical and Albanese classes to $H^2(\mathcal{Y}_\sigma, \mathbb{Q})(1)$. Furthermore, the class of the exceptional divisor restricts on $\mathcal{Y}_\sigma$ to that of the base locus curve, which is generated by the canonical and Albanese classes. This shows the claim.

Next, using the fact that $\mathfrak{D}$ is ample (and therefore an analogue of Hard Lefschetz holds for $E_1^{(3)}$ using the class of $\mathfrak{D}$), it follows that

$$(4.2) \quad H^2(\mathcal{Y}_\sigma, \mathbb{Q})(1) = I \oplus V,$$

where $V$ is the kernel of the Gysin map $H^2(\mathcal{Y}_\sigma, \mathbb{Q})(1) \to H^2(E_1^{(3)}, \mathbb{Q})(1)$ (see [PS, p.412]). But by Picard-Lefschetz theory, $V$ is the subspace generated by the vanishing cycles of the fibration $\mathcal{Y} \to J$. Since $V \subseteq I^\perp$ by the Picard-Lefschetz formula, (4.2) gives $V = I^\perp$. In particular, no nonzero vector in $V$ is fixed since $I \cap V = 0$. \qed

Proposition 4.4. Concerning the action of $\pi_1(J^*(\mathbb{C}), \sigma)$ on $\mathbb{V}_\sigma$, we have:

(a) Let $\delta \in \mathbb{V}_\sigma$ be a vanishing cycle; then for any other vanishing cycle $\delta' \in \mathbb{V}_\sigma$, either $\delta'$ or $-\delta'$ is contained in the orbit of $\delta$ under $\pi_1(J^*(\mathbb{C}), \sigma)$.

(b) The action of $\pi_1(J^*(\mathbb{C}), \sigma)$ on the complexification $(\mathbb{V}_\sigma)_{\mathbb{C}}$ is irreducible.

Proof. Part (a) may be reduced to verifying two topological facts:

(i) Viewing $J$ as a line in $S$, so that

$$J^* = J \setminus R \subseteq S \setminus R,$$

the induced map $\pi_1(J^*(\mathbb{C}), \sigma) \to \pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}) \setminus R(\mathbb{C}), \sigma)$ is surjective.

(ii) Choose any two points $p_0, p_1 \in J \cap R = J \cap \hat{R}$ and let $\gamma_i$ be an elementary path corresponding to $p_i$, for $i = 0, 1$. (That is, $\gamma_i$ starts at $\sigma$, travels a path in $J^*$ to a point near $p_i$, circles once around $p_i$ in the positive sense, and returns to $\sigma$ along its initial path; see [Lam, Fig. 3].) Then the homotopy classes $[\gamma_0], [\gamma_1] \in \pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}) \setminus R(\mathbb{C}), \sigma)$ are conjugate.
The analogous statements to (i) and (ii) are true when $R$ is replaced by the irreducible hypersurface $\hat{R}$; see [Lam, §7.4, 7.5]. On the other hand, considering the homomorphisms
\[ \pi_1(J^*(C), \sigma) \to \pi_1(S(C) \setminus R(C), \sigma) \to \pi_1(S(C) \setminus \hat{R}(C), \sigma) \]
induced by the inclusions $J^* \hookrightarrow S \setminus R \hookrightarrow S \setminus \hat{R}$, the second of these is an isomorphism, since $R \setminus \hat{R}$ has complex codimension at least two in $S$; see [Dim, Prop. 4.1.1]. Hence the statements (i) and (ii) regarding $R$ are true.

Since the representation $\lambda$ factors as
\[ \lambda : \pi_1(J^*(C), \sigma) \to \pi_1(S(C) \setminus R(C), \sigma) \to O((\mathbb{V}_{C, \sigma}, \phi_\sigma)) \]
statement (i) shows that part (a) may be reduced to proving that either $\delta'$ or $-\delta'$ is contained in the orbit of $\delta$ under $\pi_1(S(C) \setminus \hat{R}(C), \sigma)$. Following the same reasoning as [Lam, §7.6], one may then accomplish this using statement (ii), the Picard-Lefschetz formula, and the nondegeneracy of $\phi_\sigma$ on $\mathbb{V}_\sigma$.

Part (b) then follows from part (a) using (again) the Picard-Lefschetz formula and the nondegeneracy of $\phi_\sigma$; see [Lam, p.46]. □

**Corollary 4.5.** The image of the monodromy representation $\lambda$ in (4.1) is either finite or Zariski-dense in the complex algebraic group $O((\mathbb{V}_\sigma)\mathbb{C}, \phi_\sigma)$.

**Proof.** This follows by an application of [Del2, Lemma 4.4.2*] to the quadratic space $((\mathbb{V}_\sigma)\mathbb{C}, -\phi_\sigma)$, using Proposition 4.4(a) and the Picard-Lefschetz formula. □

**Proof of Theorem A.** As noted, it suffices to prove Theorem 4.2. Let $M$ denote the Zariski-closure of the image of $\lambda$ and assume that $M$ is finite. Since the action of $M$ on $(\mathbb{V}_\sigma)\mathbb{C}$ is irreducible by Proposition 4.4(b), this implies that any $M$-invariant nonzero bilinear form on $\mathbb{V}_\sigma$ is either positive or negative definite. But $\phi_\sigma$ is such a form and has signature $(2,7)$, giving a contradiction. Hence the theorem follows from Corollary 4.5 □

**5. Applications to Galois representations**

Throughout §5, $k_0$ will be a finitely generated subfield of $\mathbb{C}$ and $k$ will be its algebraic closure in $\mathbb{C}$. We will frequently replace $k_0$ by a finite extension when necessary, sometimes without mention. This will not be problematic, since it is sufficient to prove the statements in Theorem B over some finite extension of the original field of definition.

We will prove Theorem B by following the axiomatic approach laid out in [And1]. However, a modification of Andrè’s axioms is required in the present situation, and we discuss more carefully those points of the argument that are potentially affected by this modification.

**5.1. Axioms.** Let $X$ be a smooth projective geometrically connected surface defined over $k_0$. Suppose
\[ \Omega \subseteq H_\mathbb{Z} := H^2(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z})(1)/(\text{tors}) \]
denotes a fixed sublattice of classes such that:

(i) $\Omega$ is spanned by the cycle classes of a finite collection of numerically independent effective divisors $D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_m$ on $X$ defined over $k_0$ (and hence all of the classes in $\Omega$ are algebraic).

(ii) The divisor $D_1$ is ample.
Suppose also that $H_\mathbb{Z}$ has Hodge numbers $h^{-1,1} = h^{1,-1} = 1, h^{0,0} > 0,$ and $h^{p,q} = 0$ otherwise.

If 

$$\theta : H_\mathbb{Z} \otimes H_\mathbb{Z} \to H^4(X_\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z})(2) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}$$

is the bilinear form given by the cup product, we define the orthogonal complement

$$(5.2) \quad V_\mathbb{Z} := \Omega^1_0 \subseteq H_\mathbb{Z}.$$ 

As $\Omega$ contains an ample class, $(V_\mathbb{Z}, \theta)$ is an integral polarized Hodge structure. If $R \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is any (commutative, unital) ring of characteristic zero, let $V_R = V_\mathbb{Z} \otimes R$. We will also set $V := V_\mathbb{Q}$.

We now suppose that $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to S$ is a smooth projective family of surfaces defined over $k_0$, with $S$ smooth and geometrically connected, that satisfies four axioms (A1) through (A4).

(A1) There is a point $s \in S(k_0)$ such that $X$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{X}_s$ over $k_0$. After fixing such an isomorphism, we may assume that $X = \mathcal{X}_s$. Let $\sigma = s_\mathbb{C} \in S(\mathbb{C})$.

(A2) There exist effective divisors $D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_m$ on $\mathcal{X}$, flat over $S$, whose pullbacks to $X = \mathcal{X}_s$ are numerically equivalent to the divisors $D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_m$. Moreover, the pullback of $D_1$ to any fiber $\mathcal{X}_\tau$, $\tau \in S(\mathbb{C})$, is ample.

The elements of $\Omega \subseteq H_\mathbb{Z}$ thus extend to global sections of $\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{Z} := R^2(\pi_\mathbb{an}^*)_s \mathbb{Z}(1)/(\text{tors})$, and one of these sections restricts to an ample class at every point. If

$$\phi : \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{H}_\mathbb{Z} \to R^4(\pi_\mathbb{an}^*)_s \mathbb{Z}(2) \simeq \mathbb{Z},$$

is the cup product form, then the orthogonal complement $\mathbb{V}_\mathbb{Z}$ of the global sections coming from $\Omega$ is a polarized integral variation of Hodge structure of weight zero with Hodge numbers

$$(5.3) \quad h^{-1,1} = h^{1,-1} = 1, \quad h^{0,0} =: N > 0$$

and $h^{p,q} = 0$ otherwise. For a ring $R$ of characteristic zero, let $\mathbb{V}_R := \mathbb{V}_\mathbb{Z} \otimes R$, and set $\mathbb{V} := \mathbb{V}_\mathbb{Q}$. With $\sigma = s_\mathbb{C} \in S(\mathbb{C})$ as in (A1), we have $(\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{Z}, \sigma}, \phi_\sigma) = (V_\mathbb{Z}, \theta)$.

(A3) There exists $\mu \in S(\mathbb{C})$ such that the Hodge structure $\mathbb{V}_\mu$ contains nonzero algebraic classes.

(A4) The image of the monodromy representation

$$\Lambda : \pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), \sigma) \to \text{O}(\mathbb{V}, \sigma, \phi_\sigma) = \text{O}(V, \theta)$$

contains a dense subgroup of $\text{SO}(V, \theta)$.

These axioms are similar to the those being considered in [And1, p.207] (which in turn are similar to axioms in [Rap]), but they differ in two ways. The first is that in (A2) we focus on a subvariation of the full primitive cohomology of the family, albeit one whose complement in $\mathbb{H} = R^2(\pi_\mathbb{an}^*)_s \mathbb{Q}(1)$ is still algebraic. The second and more significant difference is represented in (A3) and (A4), which together replace the single assumption that the image of period map of the variation $\mathbb{V}$ over $S_\mathbb{C}$ contains an open subset of the period domain.

Finally we note that, since we have restricted our attention to the simpler situation where all fibers of $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to S$ are surfaces, the Lefschetz (1, 1) Theorem eliminates the need to assume that the Hodge classes in each fiber of $\mathbb{V}_\mathbb{Z}$ are algebraic.

**Main Example.** We recall the smooth projective family $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to S$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ constructed in §2, with $S$ smooth, geometrically connected, and of dimension 5. If $X$ is any admissible $\text{CC}_3$ surface defined over $k_0$, then by Corollary 2.2 there exists a point $s \in S(k_0)$ such that
Keeping the notation $H_Z$ above, let $\Omega \subseteq H_Z$ denote the span of the cycle classes of the canonical divisor and an Albanese fiber. As in §4.1, these two divisor classes are arise from effective divisors on $X$ that are flat over $S$, one of which gives an ample divisor class on all fibers. Thus taking the orthogonal complement yields the variation of Hodge structure $V_Z$ over $S$ with Hodge numbers
\begin{equation}
(5.4)
\begin{align*}
    h^{-1,1} = h^{1,-1} &= 1, \\
    h^{0,0} &= 7.
\end{align*}
\end{equation}
This verifies Axioms (A1) and (A2) for this example. Lastly, Theorem 2.3 and Theorem A show that (A3) and (A4) hold.

Note in this example that the image of the period map of the variation $V_Z$ over $S$ cannot contain an open subset of the relevant period domain; indeed, by (5.4), the period domain has dimension 7, but the dimension of $S$ is 5.

5.2. In the course of proving Theorem 5.7 below (of which Theorem B is a special case), we will work with André’s theory of motivated cycles developed in [And2]. All motivated cycles are absolute Hodge cycles (in the sense of [DMOS]), and conjecturally both notions are equivalent to algebraic cycles modulo homological equivalence.

Given a subfield $E$ of $C$, one may define the category of pure motives for motivated cycles over $E$ with coefficients in $Q$; this is a Tannakian semisimple category with a fiber functor to the category of rational Hodge structures called the Betti realization. Below we will refer to this simply as the category of motives over $E$. Given two motives $M_1$ and $M_2$ over $E$ with Betti realizations $W_1$ and $W_2$, to say that a Hodge correspondence $c : W_1 \to W_2$ is motivated over $E$ is to say that $c$ is the Betti realization of a morphism $V_1 \to V_2$. By Tannakian formalism, the category of motives over $E$ is equivalent to the category of finite-dimensional $Q$-representations of the associated motivic Galois group $G_E$.

Let us mention some motives that will appear below. For any variety $Y$ over $E$, we let $\mathcal{H}^i(Y)$ denote the motive whose Betti realization is $H^i(Y, Q)$. Considering the case that the field $E$ contains $k_0$, the variety $X_E$ gives (with a harmless ambiguity of notation) the motive $\mathcal{H}^2(X)$ over $E$. Since algebraic cycles are motivated, we have a submotive of $\mathcal{H}^2(X)$ whose Betti realization is the algebraic subspace $\Omega \otimes Q$ in $H^2(X, Q)$: as these cycles are defined over $k_0$, this submotive is the sum of $m = \text{rank } \Omega$ copies of the trivial motive over $E$. As the cup product $H^2(X, Q) \otimes H^2(X, Q) \to H^4(X, Q)$ is motivated, there is also a motive $V$ with Betti realization $V = (\Omega \otimes Q)^\perp$. We note in particular that
\begin{equation}
(5.5)
\mathcal{H}^2(X)(1) \simeq 1 \oplus \cdots \oplus 1 \oplus V,
\end{equation}
where there are $m$ summands of the trivial motive $1$ on the right. In the case when $E = C$, we will denote the motive $V$ as $V_C$. In general, we will use the script notation to denote the motives that are realized by certain cohomological objects. For instance, $\mathcal{C}^+(V)$ will denote the motive with Betti realization $C^+(V)$ (where $C^+(V)$ denotes the even Clifford algebra of the quadratic space $(V, \theta)$), and $\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{H}^i(Y))$ will denote the motive with Betti realization $\text{End}(H^i(Y, Q))$.

The idea of the proof of Theorem 5.7 is to show (in Theorem 5.6) that $V$ belongs to the Tannakian category generated by the motives of abelian and zero-dimensional varieties over $k_0$, and then to exploit the work of Faltings [Fal, FW] on abelian varieties.
5.3. We now invoke the Kuga–Satake–Deligne construction, which associates abelian schemes to certain types of variations of Hodge structure, specifically those over smooth connected varieties with that are polarized of weight zero and have Hodge type \{(-1,1), (0,0), (1,−1)\} with \(h^{-1,1} = 1\). For more details about the construction, we refer to [Del1, And1]. Since \(V\) is of this type, we obtain:

**Theorem 5.1** (Kuga–Satake [KS], Deligne [Del1]). After perhaps replacing \(S\) by a connected finite étale covering, as well as the variation \(V_Z\) over \(S_C\) by the corresponding pullback variation, the following exist:

(a) a complex abelian scheme \(a : A \to S_C\),
(b) a ring \(B\) with an embedding \(\nu : B \hookrightarrow \text{End}_{S_C}(A)\),
(c) an isomorphism of variations of Hodge structure

\[
(5.6) \quad u_Z : C^+(V_Z) \simri \text{End}_B(R^1a_{an}^\ast Z)
\]

that induces an underlying isomorphism of local systems of rings.

Henceforth, we assume that \(S\) has been replaced by a finite étale covering, and \(\pi : X \to S\) by its respective pullback, so as to guarantee the existence of the structures in Theorem 5.1 over \(S\). Note that, since we are insensitive to replacing \(k_0\) by a finite extension, we may take this finite covering to also be defined over \(k_0\). Also note that after making this replacement, the properties (A1) through (A4) still hold for \(\pi : X \to S\). A key result in what follows is:

**Lemma 5.2.** Let \(R\) be a subring of \(\mathbb{C}\) and consider the isomorphism

\[
(5.7) \quad u_Z \otimes 1 : C^+(V_R) \simri \text{End}_B(R^1a_{an}^\ast R)
\]

obtained by tensoring (5.6) with the constant sheaf \(R\). Then (5.7) is the unique isomorphism from \(C^+(V_R)\) to \(\text{End}_B(R^1a_{an}^\ast R)\) as local systems of \(R\)-algebras on \(S_C\).

**Proof.** The argument comes from [Del1, Lemme 6.5.1]. Given two isomorphisms of local systems of \(R\)-algebras

\[
C^+(V_R) \simri \text{End}_B(R^1a_{an}^\ast R),
\]

one obtains an automorphism of the local system \(C^+(V_R)\), i.e., a \(\pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), \sigma)\)-invariant \(R\)-algebra automorphism of the even Clifford algebra \(C^+(V_{R,\sigma}) = C^+(V_R)\); upon base change to \(\mathbb{C}\), we then obtain a \(\pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), \sigma)\)-invariant \(\mathbb{C}\)-algebra automorphism of \(C^+(V_C)\). But by the density of the monodromy representation \(\Lambda\) in \(\text{SO}(V_C, \theta)\) from (A4), such an automorphism necessarily commutes with a dense subgroup of \(\text{Spin}(V_C)\), which acts by conjugation on \(C^+(V_C)\). Deligne [Del1, Prop. 3.5] shows that this implies the automorphism of \(C^+(V_C)\) is the identity, and hence the original two maps of local systems of \(R\)-algebras agree. \(\square\)

Taking \(R = \mathbb{Q}\) in Lemma 5.2, one obtains the isomorphism of rational variations of Hodge structure that we denote simply as

\[
(5.8) \quad u : C^+(V) \simri \text{End}_B(R^1a_{an}^\ast \mathbb{Q}).
\]

Note that under our identification \(X = X_s\), specializing \(u\) at \(\sigma = s_C\) yields

\[
(5.9) \quad u_\sigma : C^+(V) \simri \text{End}_B(H^1(A_\sigma, \mathbb{Q})),
\]

which is an isomorphism of both weight zero Hodge structures and \(\mathbb{Q}\)-algebras. One has similar isomorphisms at all other \(\tau \in S(\mathbb{C})\).
Proposition 5.3. The Hodge correspondence \( u_\sigma \) in (5.9) is motivated over \( \mathbb{C} \).

Proof. One argues as in [And1, Prop. 6.2.1]. The point is to show that the collection of all \( \pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), \sigma) \)-invariant elements in \( \text{Hom}(C^+(V), \text{End}_B(H^1(A_\sigma, \mathbb{Q}))) \) is the Betti realization of a motive over \( \mathbb{C} \), by using tensor constructions and Deligne’s theorem of the fixed part. Since the motivic Galois group \( G_\mathbb{C} \) preserves isomorphisms of \( \mathbb{Q} \)-algebras \( C^+(V) \to \text{End}_B(H^1(A_\sigma, \mathbb{Q})) \), this implies it will preserve such \( \mathbb{Q} \)-algebra isomorphisms that are also invariant under \( \pi_1(S(\mathbb{C}), \sigma) \). By Lemma 5.2, \( u_\sigma \) is the only such isomorphism, so \( u_\sigma \) is fixed by \( G_\mathbb{C} \).

Recall the special point \( \mu \in S(\mathbb{C}) \) of (A3), and let us fix a subspace \( W_\mu \subseteq V_\mu \) of codimension one by taking the orthogonal complement some nonzero algebraic class. Then \( W_\mu \) is a rational Hodge structure with Hodge numbers \( h^{-1,1} = h^{1,-1} = 1 \) and \( h^{0,0} = N - 1 \). One may construct the Kuga-Satake variety \( KS(W_\mu) \) of \( W_\mu \) (defined up to isogeny since \( W_\mu \) has rational coefficients), along with an isomorphism of Hodge structures

\[
v_\mu : C^+(W_\mu) \to \text{End}_B'(H^1(KS(W_\mu), \mathbb{Q})),
\]

for a particular ring of endomorphisms \( B' \) of \( KS(W_\mu) \). The abelian variety \( KS(W_\mu) \) is isogenous to \( A_\mu^2 \) (see [And1, 4.1.5]). Using this fact, Proposition 5.3, and the fact that Hodge cycles on abelian varieties are motivated [And2], one may show that the Hodge correspondence \( v_\mu \) is also motivated.

Lemma 5.4. The motive \( \text{det} \mathcal{V}_C \) is trivial.

Proof. With \( N = h^{0,0}(V_\mathbb{Z}) = h^{0,0}(V) > 1 \) as in (5.3), there are two cases:

\( N \) even. In this case the lemma follows fairly directly from Proposition 5.3. Arguing as in [And1, 6.2.2], one may consider the \( O(V, \theta) \)-stable increasing filtration \( F_j \) on \( C^+(V) \) by the images of the spaces \( \bigoplus_{i=0}^j V^{\otimes 2i} \). Then the \( O(V, \theta) \)-modules \( \text{det} V \) and \( F_{N/2}/F_{(N-1)/2} \) are isomorphic, and one may use this to identify \( \text{det} V \) noncanonically with a subspace of \( C^+(V) \) that is stabilized by \( O(V, \theta) \). Then, as the motivic Galois group \( G(\mathcal{V}_C) \) of \( \mathcal{V}_C \) is a subgroup of \( O(V, \theta) \), this identifies \( \text{det} \mathcal{V}_C \) with a submotive of the motive \( \mathcal{G}^+(\mathcal{V}_C) \), and hence with a submotive \( \mathcal{M} \) of \( \mathcal{End}_B(H^1(A_\sigma, \mathbb{Q})) \) by Proposition 5.3. Let \( M \subseteq \text{End}(H^1(A_\sigma, \mathbb{Q})) \) denote the Betti realization of \( \mathcal{M} \). Since \( O(V, \theta) \), and hence \( G(\mathcal{V}_C) \), must act on \( \mathcal{M} \simeq \text{det} \mathcal{V}_C \) by \( \{ \pm 1 \} \), it follows from the (Kuga-Satake) construction of \( A_\sigma \) that the Hodge group of \( H^1(A_\sigma, \mathbb{Q}) \) acts trivially on \( M \); thus the classes in \( M \) are algebraic and \( \mathcal{M} \) is the trivial motive.

\( N \) odd. In this case one proceeds along the lines of [And1, 6.4.1]. Since the Hodge structure \( W_\mu \) has even dimension \( N - 1 \), one may use the motivated correspondence \( v_\mu \) in (5.10) to argue as in the previous case that \( \text{det} W_\mu \) is the realization of the trivial motive, and hence so is \( \text{det} V_\mu \). Considering the local system \( \text{det} V \), one then applies a deformation argument to conclude that \( \text{det} V_\sigma \) is the realization of the trivial motive at all other \( \tau \in S(\mathbb{C}) \). Since the realization of \( \text{det} \mathcal{V}_C \) is \( \text{det} V_\sigma \), this gives the lemma in this second case.

Proposition 5.5. Over \( \mathbb{C} \), there is a motivated correspondence

\[
\gamma : V \leftrightarrow \text{End}(H^1(A_\sigma, \mathbb{Q})).
\]

Proof. As in the previous proof, we have two separate cases:
$N$ odd. Following the proof of [And1, 6.2.3], one may form (as in the “$N$ even” portion of the proof of Lemma 5.4) the filtration $F_{\delta}$ on $C^+(V)$ given by the images of the spaces $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{j} V^{\otimes 2i}$. The $O(V,\theta)$-module $F_{(N+1)/2}/F_{(N-1)/2}$ (which is isomorphic as a vector space to $\bigwedge^{N+1} V$) is isomorphic to $V \otimes \det V$, and this allows one to (noncanonically) identify $\mathcal{H} \otimes \det \mathcal{H}$ as a sub motive of $\delta nd_{B}(\mathcal{H}^!(A_{\sigma}))$. But $\det \mathcal{H}$ is the trivial motive by Lemma 5.4, giving the result in this case.

$N$ even. Arguing as in [And1, 6.4.3], one may show that there is a $SO(V,\theta)$-invariant embedding $V \hookrightarrow \text{End}(C^+(V))$. (Note that $GSpin(V)$ acts upon $C^+(V)$ by left multiplication and hence acts through its quotient $SO(V,\theta)$ upon $\text{End}(C^+(V))$; this is the intended action of $SO(V,\theta)$ on the right side of the aforementioned embedding.) Explicitly, let $d = N + 2$, choose an orthogonal basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_d\}$ of $V$, and choose a nonisotropic vector $v$: then one may check that

$$\beta : w \mapsto L_{we_1 \ldots e_d} R_v$$

gives an $SO(V,\theta)$-invariant embedding $\beta : V \hookrightarrow \text{End}(C^+(V))$, where $L_a$ and $R_a$ denote multiplication on the left and right by $a$, respectively. (See the proof of [And1, 6.4.3] for a more conceptual view of such an embedding.) From the Kuga–Satake–Deligne construction, it follows that $\beta$ extends to an embedding of variations of Hodge structure

$$\beta : V \hookrightarrow \text{End}(R^1 a_{\text{et}}^* \mathbb{Q}).$$

It suffices to show that $\beta = \beta_{\sigma}$ is motivated and, by a deformation argument, this will in turn follow if one shows that $\beta_{\mu}$, with $\mu \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C})$ as in (A3), is motivated.

Let $v^\ast, e_1^\ast, \ldots, e_d^\ast$ in $V^\ast_{\mu}$ be the continuations of $v, e_1, \ldots, e_d$ in $V = V_{\sigma}$ along some chosen homotopy class of paths from $\sigma$ to $\mu$, so that

$$\beta_{\mu} : w \mapsto L_{we_1^\ast \ldots e_d^\ast} R_{v^\ast}.$$ (Note that $v^\ast, e_1^\ast, \ldots, e_d^\ast$ depend upon the choice of path, but $\beta_{\mu}$ does not.) We may suppose without loss of generality that $e_d^\ast$ is algebraic and that $V_{\mu} = W_{\mu} \oplus Qe_d^\ast$. Let us write three maps:

$$\delta_1 : V_{\mu} = W_{\mu} \oplus Qe_d^\ast \longrightarrow C^+(W_{\mu}) \oplus Qe_d^\ast$$

$$(w, \alpha e_d^\ast) \longmapsto (w e_1^\ast \ldots e_{d-1}^\ast, \alpha e_d^\ast)$$

$$\delta_2 : C^+(W_{\mu}) \oplus Qe_d^\ast \longrightarrow \text{End}(C^+(W_{\mu})) \oplus Qe_d^\ast \simeq \text{End}(H^1(KS(W_{\mu}), \mathbb{Q})) \oplus Qe_d^\ast$$

$$(a, \alpha e_d^\ast) \longmapsto (L_a, \alpha e_d^\ast)$$

$$\delta_3 : \text{End}(C^+(W_{\mu})) \oplus Qe_d^\ast \longrightarrow \text{End}(C^+(V_{\mu})) \simeq \text{End}(H^1(A_{\mu}, \mathbb{Q}))$$

$$(\varphi, \alpha e_d^\ast) \longmapsto (\varphi + \alpha L_{e_d^\ast} L_{e_1^\ast \ldots e_{d-1}^\ast} R_{v^\ast}.$$

Then one may check that $\beta_{\mu} = \delta_3 \delta_2 \delta_1$. The map $\delta_1$ is essentially the composition $W_{\mu} \rightarrow W_{\mu} \otimes \det W_{\mu} \rightarrow C^+(W_{\mu})$, which is motivated: the first of these is motivated since $\det W_{\mu}$ is motivically trivial by Lemma 5.4, and the second is motivated following an argument similar to the case of “$N$ odd” above. The map $\delta_2$ is in fact $v_{\mu} \otimes \text{Id}$ (with $v_{\mu}$ as in (5.10)), hence is motivated. Finally, one may verify that $\delta_3$ is a morphism of $SO(W_{\mu})$-modules, and hence gives a Hodge correspondence between $\text{End}(H^1(KS(W_{\mu}), \mathbb{Q})) \oplus Qe_d^\ast$ and $\text{End}(H^1(A_{\mu}, \mathbb{Q}))$: since Hodge cycles on abelian varieties are motivated, it follows that $\delta_3$ is motivated. Therefore $\beta_{\mu}$ is motivated, as desired. \qed
The abelian variety $\mathcal{A}_σ$ has a model $A$ over $k_0$ such that the inclusion $ν_σ : B ⊆ \text{End}(\mathcal{A}_σ)$ descends to $B → \text{End}_{k_0}(A)$. Furthermore, the motivated correspondence $γ$ in (5.11) descends to a motivated correspondence over $k_0$.

Proof. We follow [And1, §5.5], which in turn is a stronger version of [Del1, Prop. 6.5]. Without reproducing the entire proof, we take care to explain the role played by (A4), the density of the monodromy.

Consider the collection $C_1 = (S, X, π, A, a, ν)$; as this collection is defined by a finite number of equations, there is (after perhaps replacing $k_0$ by a finite extension) a smooth connected variety $T$ over $k_0$ such that $C_1$ descends to a collection $C_2 = (S_2, X_2, π_2, A_2, a_2, ν_2)$ over the function field $k_0(T)$ of $T$. Note that as $π : X → S$ is defined over $k_0$, $π_2 : X_2 → S_2$ is obtained simply by base change to $k_0(T)$:

$$S_2 = S_{k_0(T)}, \quad X_2 = X_{k_0(T)}, \quad π_2 = π_{k_0(T)}.$$  

In fact, upon replacing $T$ if necessary, the collection $C_2$ is the generic fiber of a collection $C_3 = (S_3, X_3, π_3, A_3, a_3, ν_3)$ defined over all of $T$. Just as before, the first three objects in $C_3$ are obtained by base change to $T$:

$$S_3 = S_T, \quad X_3 = X_T, \quad π_2 = π_T.$$  

To show the existence of $A$, the main point is to produce an isomorphism of local systems of rings over $(S_3)_C$ that is similar to $u_Z$ in (5.6). This is not automatic, since the transcendental isomorphism $u_Z$ does not “spread” to $T$ along with the collection $C_1$. To obtain this we use (A4), in the guise of Lemma 5.2.

For a prime number $ℓ$, one tensors $u_Z$ with $Z_ℓ$ and uses comparison to obtain an isomorphism of $Z_ℓ$-sheaves of algebras

$$u_ℓ : C^+(V_ℓ) → \text{End}_B(ℓ^1a_σZ_ℓ)$$  

in the étale topology on $S_C$; here $V_ℓ$ is the subsheaf of the $Z_ℓ$-sheaf $R^2(π_σ)_*Z_ℓ(1)$ obtained as the complement of the global sections arising from the algebraic classes $Ω$ in (A2) (i.e., the construction is exactly similar to that of $V_Z$). Then $u_ℓ$ automatically descends to an isomorphism of étale sheaves over $S_2 := S_2 ⊗_{k_0(T)} k_0(T)$, where $k_0(T)$ is the algebraic closure of $k_0(T)$. This means that the map on fibers

$$(5.12) \quad u_ℓ,σ : C^+(V_ℓ,σ) → \text{End}(ℓ^1(A_2,σ,Z_ℓ))$$  

is invariant under the action of the geometric étale fundamental group $π_1(S_2, σ)$. But, after choosing a ring embedding $Z_ℓ ↪ C$, it follows from Lemma 5.2 that (5.12) is the unique isomorphism of $Z_ℓ$-algebras between $C^+(V_ℓ,σ)$ and $\text{End}(ℓ^1(A_2,σ,Z_ℓ))$ that is invariant under $π_1(S_2, σ)$. Since an element of the arithmetic étale fundamental group $π_1(S_2, σ)$ sends such an isomorphism to another, it must also fix (5.12), allowing one to conclude that $u_ℓ$ in fact descends to an isomorphism of étale sheaves over $S_2$ itself.

Hence, after perhaps replacing $T$ again, $u_ℓ$ is the generic fiber of an isomorphism of étale sheaves over $T$ that, by comparison, yields an isomorphism of local systems of rings

$$(5.13) \quad C^+(V_T) → \text{End}_B(ℓ^1a_σ0Z)$$  

in the analytic site on $(S_3)_C = S_C ×_CT_C$; here $V_T$ denotes the pullback of $V$ from $S_C$ to $S_C ×_CT_C$. Then, as in [And1, Lemma 5.5.1], one uses (5.13) to prove that any specialization

"
of the abelian scheme $A_3 \to S_T = S \times_{k_0} T$ to a point along the subvariety $s \times_{k_0} T$ (with $s \in S(k_0)$ as in (A1)) in fact gives a model for $(A_\sigma, \nu_\sigma)$ over the residue field of that point. In particular, choosing a $k_0$-valued point of $s \times_{k_0} T$, we get a model $A$ for $A_\sigma$ over $k_0$ for which the endomorphisms $B \hookrightarrow \text{End}(A_\sigma)$ descend to endomorphisms $B \hookrightarrow \text{End}_{k_0}(A)$, completing the first part of the theorem.

Having established this, one considers the motive $\mathcal{E}_{nd}(\mathcal{H}^1(A))$ over $k_0$, whose Betti realization is $\text{End}(H^1(A_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Q})) = \text{End}(H^1(A_{\sigma}, \mathbb{Q}))$. Proposition 5.5 gives an embedding $\gamma$ of $V$ into $\text{End}(H^1(A_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Q}))$ which is motivated over $\mathbb{C}$. This descends automatically to a motivated embedding over the algebraic closure $k$ of $k_0$, and hence to a finite extension of $k_0$, which we may assume to be $k_0$ itself. Thus one has an embedding

\[(5.14)\quad \gamma_0 : \mathcal{V} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{nd}(\mathcal{H}^1(A))\]

of motives over $k_0$. \hfill \square

### 5.4. Theorem B

Theorem B is now a special case of the following result:

**Theorem 5.7.** In the axiomatic setting of §5.1, fix a prime number $\ell$ and consider the $\ell$-adic representation

\[ r_\ell : \text{Gal}(k/k_0) \to \text{Aut}(H^2(X_k, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)(1)). \]

Then the following hold:

(i) The representation $r_\ell$ is semisimple.

(ii) (Tate Conjecture) Let $V_{\text{alg}}$ be the $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-subspace generated by the image of the cycle class map

\[ c_\ell : \text{CH}^1(X_k) \to H^2(X_k, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)(1). \]

Then $V_{\text{alg}}$ is exactly the subspace of elements in $H^2(X_k, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)(1)$ that are fixed by an open subgroup of $\text{Gal}(k/k_0)$.

**Proof.** As noted earlier, it suffices to prove both of the statements after replacing $k_0$ by a finite extension, and so we may assume the results of §5.3.

The Galois representation $H^2(X_k, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)(1)$ is the $\ell$-adic realization of the motive $\mathcal{H}^2(X)$. Letting $V_\ell$ denote the $\ell$-adic realization of $\mathcal{V}$, the decomposition (5.5) shows that the $\text{Gal}(k/k_0)$-module $H^2(X_k, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)(1)$ is the direct sum of $V_\ell$ and $m$ copies of the trivial representation. The truth of part (i) of the theorem now follows from the semisimplicity of $V_\ell$, which in turn follows from taking the $\ell$-adic realization of the motivated correspondence $\gamma_0$ (5.14) proved in Theorem 5.6 and using Faltings’ proof of the semisimplicity conjecture for abelian varieties [Fal, FW].

For part (ii), one must show the elements of $V_\ell$ fixed by an open subgroup of $\text{Gal}(k/k_0)$ are $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-combinations of algebraic (i.e., divisor) classes. First let us set up notation. For a smooth projective variety $Y$ over $k_0$, let $i_\ell : H^*(Y_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell \to H^*(Y_k, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ be the canonical comparison $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-isomorphism obtained from our fixed embedding $k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then the motivated embedding $\gamma_0$ over $k_0$ in (5.14) yields a commutative diagram

\[(5.15)\]

\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
    V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell & \xrightarrow{\gamma \otimes 1} & \text{End}(H^1(A_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Q})) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell \\
    i_\ell \downarrow & & i_\ell \downarrow \\
    V_\ell & \xrightarrow{\gamma_\ell} & \text{End}(H^1(A_k, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)),
\end{array} \]
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in which the bottom arrow $\gamma_\ell$ is an embedding of $\text{Gal}(k/k_0)$-modules.

Now suppose that $v_\ell \in V_\ell$ is fixed by an open subgroup of $\text{Gal}(k/k_0)$; then so is $\gamma_\ell(v_\ell)$ and so, by Faltings’ proof of the isogeny conjecture [Fal, FW], $\gamma_\ell(v_\ell)$ arises from a $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-combination of isogenies of $A_k$. It then follows that $(\gamma \otimes 1)(i_\ell^{-1}(v_\ell)) = i_\ell^{-1}(\gamma_\ell(v_\ell))$ is a $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-combination of Hodge classes. But as $\gamma$ is a Hodge correspondence, any $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-combination of Hodge classes in the upper right of (5.15) that lies in the image of $\gamma \otimes 1$ necessarily comes from such a combination in the upper left. Thus $i_\ell^{-1}(v_\ell)$ is a $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-combination of Hodge classes, and hence by the Lefschetz (1,1) Theorem is a $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-combination of divisor classes. Therefore $v_\ell$ is as well. □
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